





Institute Report No. 303

Primary Eye Irritation Potential of Diethyleneglycol Dinitrate (DEGDN) in Rabbits



Gerald F. S. Hiatt, PhD and Don W. Korte, Jr., PhD, MAJ, MSC

MAMMALIAN TOXICOLOGY BRANCH DIVISION OF TOXICOLOGY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

October 1988

Toxicology Series: 153

LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94129

Primary Eye Irritation Potential of Diethyleneglycol Dinitrate (DEGDN) in Rabbits (Toxicology Series 153)--Hiatt and Korte

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return to the originator.

Citation of trade names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such items.

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigation adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," as promulgated by the Committee on Revision of the Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care, Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council.

This material has been reviewed by Letterman Army Institute of Research and there is no objection to its presentation and/or publication. The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the author(s) and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense. (AR 360-5)

Edwin S. Beatrice

COL, MC Commanding (date)

31 Oct 11

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY			

REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-01		
1a. KEPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS				
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT					
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	Approved for is unlimi		lease;	distribution			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	R(S)	5. MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NU	MBER(S)		
Institute Report No. 303							
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Mammalian Toxicology Branch Division of Toxicology	6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SGRD-ULE-T	7a. NAME OF MO US Army Bio Laborator		nization earch	and Developme r		
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Letterman Army Institute of Rese Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94	earch 4129-6800	Fort Detric	y, State, and ZIP o ck MD 21701-50				
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION US Army Medical Research & Development Command	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT	I INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATI	ON NUMBER		
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and Z:P Code)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	S			
Fort Detrick Frederick, ND 21701 5012		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION		
,		627204	835	AB	DA 30391		
(DE	mary Eye Irrita GDN) in Rabbits	tion Potentia	al of Diethy	lenegl	ycol Dinitrate		
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Gerald F.S. H	iatt and Don W.	Korte, Jr.					
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO Institute FROM <u>8/2</u>	OVERED 2/85 TO 9/24/85	14. DATE OF REPO October 19		Day) 15.	PAGE COUNT 24		
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Toxicol	ogy Series 153						
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (
SIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Diethyleneglyco Mammalian toxio			nar ir			
The potential for diethylene was evaluated in six male New Z BEGDN produced no response indiwith the eye. Slight iridial vasodilation and swelling, indimost serious responses observed of this study.	glycol dinitrate ealand White ral cative of a pote asodilation (on cative of mild	e (DEGDN) to bbits by using ential to cange of six rable inflammation	ng a modificuse irritation bits) and sl bits) and sl , (three of	ed Drai ion upo light c six ra	ze method. n direct conta onjunctival bbits) were th		
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT SAME AS RI	PT DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SEC unclass		ATION			
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Edwin S. Beatrice, COL MC	Province additions are	226 TELEPHONE (1 415-561-3	600	SGR	FICE SYMBOL D-ULZ		

DD Form 1473, JUN 86

Previous editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE
UNCLASSIFIED

ABSTRACT

The potential for diethyleneglycol dinitrate (DEGDN) to produce primary eye irritation was evaluated in six male New Zealand White rabbits by using a modified Draize method. DEGDN produced no response indicative of a potential to cause irritation upon direct contact with the eye. Slight iridial vasodilation (one of six rabbits) and slight conjunctival vasodilation and swelling, indicative of mild inflammation, (three of six rabbits) were the most serious responses observed. DEGDN was classified as a non-irritant under conditions of this study.

Key Words: Diethyleneglycol dinitrate, DEGDN, Ocular Irritation, Mammalian Toxicology, Rabbits



Acce ion For	وينيد منهد و المحادث
NTIS CRASI DTIC TAB Unannomiced Justificial	
By Distribution	
Avorage	
Dist Area a	1
A-1	

PREFACE

TYPE REPORT: Primary Eye Irritation GLP Study Report

TESTING FACILITY:

US Army Medical Research and Development Command Letterman Army Institute of Research Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129-6800

SPONSOR:

US Army Medical Research and Development Command US Army Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory Fort Detrick, Maryland 21701-5010 Project Officer: Gunda Reddy, PhD

PROJECT/WORK UNIT/APC: 3E162720A835/180/TLB0

GLP STUDY NUMBER: 85002

STUDY DIRECTOR: MAJ Don W. Korte Jr, PhD, MSC

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Gerald F.S. Hiatt, PhD

REPORT AND DATA MANAGEMENT: A copy of the final report,

study protocol, retired SOPs, raw data, analytical, stability, and purity data of the test compound, and an aliquot of the

test compound will be retained in the LAIR Archives.

TEST SUBSTANCE: Diethyleneglycol dinitrate (DEGDN)

INCLUSIVE STUDY DATES: 22 Aug - 24 Sep 85

OBJECTIVE: The objective of this study was to determine the

primary eye irritation potential of DEGDN in male

New Zealand White rabbits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SSG James D. Justus, SP4 James J. Fischer, and SP4 Theresa I. Polk provided technical assistance in the conduct of the study. Michael J. Pearce provided assistance in the preparation of the report. SP4 Scott L. Schwebe, Richard D. Spieler, Obie B. Goodrich, and Diane Arevalo provided care for the animals. Colleen S. Kamiyama and Ann L. Wilkinson provided administrative and clerical support during the performance of this study and preparation of the report. MAJ Larry D. Brown, VC, served as the LAIR director of the research project for the acute toxicity studies of DEGDN.

SIGNATURES OF PRINCIPAL SCIENTISTS AND MANAGERS INVOLVED IN THE STUDY:

We, the undersigned, declare that GLP Study 85002 was performed under our supervision, according to the procedures described herein, and that this report is an accurate record of the results obtained.

DON W. KORTE JR., END / DATE

MAJ, MSC

Study Director

GERALD F.S. HIATT, PhD / DATE

DAC

Principal Investigator

Gould FIA

DAC

Analytical Chemist



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

LETTERMAN ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94129-6800

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

SGRD-ULZ-QA

25 October 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: GLP Compliance for GLP Study 85002

1. This is to certify that in relation to LAIR GLP Study 85302, the following inspections were made:

05 March 1988

- Protocol Review

10 September 1988

- Dosing

11 September 1988 - 24-hr Observations

2. The institute report entitled "Primary Eye Irritation Potential of Diethyleneglycol Dinitrate (DEGDN) in Rabbits," Toxicology Series 153, was audited on 14 October 1988.

Carolyn M. Kewis

CAROLYN M. LEWIS

Chief, Quality Assurance

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	i
Preface	.iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Signatures of Principal Scientists	v
Report of Quality Assurance Unit	vi
Table of Contents	.vii
INTRODUCTION	1
Objective of Study	1
MATERIALS	1
Test Substance	2
METHODS	2
Group Assignment/Acclimation. Dosage Levels and Administration. Compound Preparation. Test Procedures. Ocular Examination/Grading. Duration of Study. Changes/Deviations. Storage of the Raw Data and Final Report.	3
RESULTS	5
DISCUSSION	7
CONCLUSION	7
REFERENCES	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)

	Page
APPENDICES	9
Appendix A. Appendix B. Appendix C. Appendix D. Appendix E. Appendix F. Appendix G.	Chemical Data
OFFICIAL DISTRIBUT	TION LIST24

Primary Eye Irritation Potential of Diethyleneglycol initrate (DEGDN) in Rabbits -- Hiatt and Korte

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Defense is considering the use of either diethyleneglycol dinitrate (DEGDN), triethyleneglycol dinitrate (TEGDN), or trimethylolethane trinitrate (TMETN) as a replacement for nitroglycerin in new propellant formulations. However, considerable gaps in the toxicology data of the compounds were identified during a review of their health effects (1) conducted for the US Army Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory (USABRDL). Consequently, USABRDL mas tasked the Division of Toxicology, Letterman Army Institute of Research (LAIR), to conduct an initial health effects evaluation of the proposed replacement nitrate esters. This initial evaluation of DEGDN, TMETN, TEGDN, and two DEGDN-based propellants, JA-2 and DIGL-RP, includes the Ames mutagenicity assay, acute oral toxicity tests in rats and mice, acute dermal toxicity in rabbits, dermal and ocular irritation studies in rabbits, and dermal sensitization studies in quinea pigs.

Objective of Study

The objective of this study was to determine the primary eye irritation potential of DEGDN in male New Zealand White rabbits.

MATERIALS

Test Substance

Chemical name: Diethylenglycol dinitrate (DEGDN)

Chemical Abstracts Service Registry No.: 693-21-0

Molecular Structure:

O2N-O-CH2CH2-O-CH2CH2-O-NO2

Hiatt and Forte--2

Empirical Formula: C4H8N20;

Other test substance information is presented in Appendix A.

Animal Data

Six male New Tealand White rabbits (Elkhorn Rabbitry, 5265 Starr Way, Watschville, CA) were identified individually with ear tattops numbered 85F162, 85F171, 85F172, 85F173, 85F174, and 85F175. Animal weights on dosing day ranged from 2.4 to 2.8 kg. Additional animal data appear in Appendix B.

Husbandry

The rabbits were housed individually in stainless steel, battery-type cages with screened floors and automatically flushing dumptanks. The diet consisted of approximately 150 g/day of Certified Purina Chow Diet 5322 (Ralston Purina Company, Checkerboard Square, St. Louis, MO); water was provided by continuous drip from a central line. The animal room temperature was maintained at 18.9 to 21.1°C and relative humidity ranged from 44 to 58 percent. The photo period was 12 hours of light per day.

METHODS

Conduct of this study was in accordance with the LAIR Standard Operating Procedure "Primary Eye Irritation Study" (2) and guidelines promulgated by the EPA for ocular irritation testing (3).

Group Assignment/Acclimation

Study rabbits were divided into a group of two males and a group of four males. These animals were quarantined by the Division of Animal Care and Services for 14 days and adclimated for 5-12 days in the GLP room before dosing. During these periods they were observed daily for signs of illness.

Dosage Levels and Administration

One-tenth milliliter of DEGDN was administered once to the "treated" eye of each rabbit by gently pulling the lower lid away from the conjunctival cul-de-sac to form a cup into which the compound was instilled. Upper and lower lids were then held gently together for one to two seconds to prevent loss of material. Group 1 was dosed on 10 Sep 85 and Group 2 was dosed on 17 Sep 85.

Compound Preparation

DEGDN is a liquid and was administered neat (undiluted).

Test Procedures

On 9 Sep 85, both eyes of each Group 1 animal were examined, for any preexisting abnormalities, by the procedure detailed below. For each animal, the eye with the most normal appearance was designated for treatment; the contralateral eye served as an untreated control. On 10 Sep 85, 0.1 ml DEGDN was placed in the treated eye of each rabbit in this group. Group 2 rabbits underwent the same procedures on 16 and 17 Sep 85, respectively.

Ocular Examination/Grading

Initially each eye was observed unaided in a darkened room with focal illumination (pen light). Structures examined included: the lids and surrounding fur, the conjunctiva (semilunar, palpebral, and bulbar), the cornea, and the iris. Grading of the cornea, iris and conjunctiva was performed according to Table 1 (modified from Ref 4). Each eye was also then examined with a slit lamp. attention was given to integrity of the corneal surface, thickness of the corneal stroma, clarity of anterior chamber fluid, iridial morphology, clarity of the lens, and lenticular surface morphology (5). Additionally, any areas appearing grossly abnormal were examined under high magnification. All observations, including normal appearance, were detailed on the grading sheet. Following this, fluorescein dye (Fluor-I-Strips, Ayerst Laboratories Inc., New York, N.Y. 10017) was introduced into the eye, which was then observed under ultraviolet light. Any corneal areas reacting with the dye (a sign of discontinuity of the corneal epithelium) were described with respect to area and intensity of fluorescence. Ocular reactions were examined and graded in this fashion at 1, 4, 24, 48, and 72 hours after dosing. Fluorescein staining was omitted from the observations at 1 and 4 hours. Due to an almost total lack of reaction during the 72 hours after dosing, the study was terminated, according to protocol, after this observation. Therefore no scoring or observations were performed at 7, 14 or 21 days.

Duration of Study

Appendix C is a complete listing of historical events.

TABLE 1: GRADES FOR OCULAR LESIONS†

					CO	RNEA								
Opacity for re			of d	densit	у (а	rea	of	great	est	densit	y	tak	en	
No ulce Scatter normal Easily obscure Nacreou discern Opaque	ed or luster discerds area	diffus c) deta cnible as, no	e area ils of transl detail	as of firis lucent	opacio cleadareadareadareadareadareadareadareada	ty (c rly v s, de visip	ther visib tail ole,	than ole s of :	slig	sht dull slightl pil bar	ind Y 	g of	•••	.1,
					II	RIS								
Normal. Markedl hyperem still re No reac these).	y deer ia or eactir tion t	pened r inject ng to l to ligh	ugae, ion, a ight t, her	conge any of (slugg morrha	stion, these ish re ge, g:	, swe e or eacti ross	llin any on i dest	combines positions.	derat natio itive on (a	e circu on there e)	mi of 	ridi , ir of	al is	.1*
				c	ONJU	NCTI	VA							
Redness excludi						and	l bu	lbar	con	junctiv	а,			
Blood vo Some blo Diffuse discern Diffuse	ood ve , crim ible	essels enson co	defini	itely individ	hypere dual v	emic vesse	(inj ls n	ected)	i sily		••			.1
Chemosi		-												
No swelling Swelling	lling swell g with	above ing with lids	normal th par about	l incl ctial o half-	uding evers: closed	nict ion o	itat f li	ing me	embra	nes		 		.1 .2*

[†] Adapted from Table 6 in Draize et al. (4).

* Indicates minimum level for a positive response.

Changes/Deviations

Slit lamp examination (procedure detailed above) was added to the standard observation procedures. Use of the slit lamp enables detection of subtle reactions not grossly observable and better evaluation of those abnormalities which are grossly observable. Color photographic documentation was not performed due to lack of significant response to test compound.

During an ocular pre-exam conducted on 9 Sep 85, rabbit 85F170, initially assigned to this study, apparently sustained a back injury while struggling in the restrainer. The animal was normal before being placed in the restrainer and the full extent of the injury was not apparent upon visual examination by the Suite Veterinarian immediately following return of the rabbit to its cage. In response to worsened symptoms the next morning (the day of dosing) the animal was again examined by the Suite Veterinarian and was removed from the study. Another rabbit (85F162), which was excess to GLP study 85003, was substituted.

With these exceptions, this study was completed in accordance with the appropriate protocol and addenda.

Storage of the Raw Data and Final Report

A copy of the final report, study protocols, raw data, retired SOPs and an aliquot of the test compound will be retained in the LAIR Archives.

RESULTS

Ocular grading results and slit lamp observations for each rabbit are presented in Appendices D and E.

DEGDN produced no grossly observable effects in the cornea. All treated eyes were assigned zero scores for both opacity and area of involvement at all observations after dosing. Slit lamp examination revealed no corneal reactions referable to the test compound. One rabbit (85F162) displayed a small mucoid globule on the corneal surface one hour after dosing. All other slit lamp observations revealed corneas of normal thickness, indicating lack of edema, with smooth surfaces, indicating epithelial integrity. No staining of the corneal epithelium was observed upon any of the fluorescein examinations in the treated eyes.

One rabbit (85F171) presented slight iridial vasodilation (score = 1) at the four-hour examination. This was primarily limited to the circumiridial vessels. No other grossly observable reactions were produced in the iris by DEGDN. Other iridial scores were consistently zero at all observation times. No additional iridial abnormalities were detected by slit lamp examination of the other treated eyes. Except for the vasodilation noted grossly in rabbit 85F171, circumiridial vessels and surface morphology were normal in all eyes at all times after dosing. Close examination of anterior chamber fluid revealed no evidence of the presence of protein or cells (another sign of iridial inflammation) in any of the treated eyes.

In this study, DEGDN produced slight conjunctival redness and swelling. At the observation at one hour, slight vasodilation (score = 1) was present in the conjunctiva of one rabbit (85F171); although it cleared in this animal, redness developed in two others (85F162, 85F173) by 4 hours. Another rabbit (85F174) exhibited slight conjunctival swelling (score = 1) at both 1 and 4 hours after dosing. All of these signs of mild conjunctival inflammation cleared by 24 hours. Slit lamp examination confirmed the presence of dilated vessels within the outer layers of the sclera, the nictitating membrane, and on the undersides of the eyelids.

The lens is not scored under the Draize-type grading system because of the difficulty in making unaided observations. At all times after dosing, the lens appeared normal during slit lamp examination. No changes were observed in clarity or surface morphology.

At no time during the study did the contralateral untreated eyes exhibit any change from their normal condition on the day of dosing.

With the exception of the ocular signs reported above, all animals appeared normal throughout the study and gained weight. Body weight data are presented in Appendix F.

The gross necropsy findings for the six rabbits in the study were considered unremarkable. A copy of the pathology report is presented in Appendix G.

DISCUSSION

Consumer Product Safety Commission Guidelines, which the EPA recommends for ocular irritation testing, state that an animal has exhibited a positive reaction if the test substance produces one or more of the following signs: ulceration of the cornea (other than a fine stippling), opacity of the cornea (other than a slight dulling of the normal luster), inflammation of the iris (other than a slight deepening of the rugae or a slight hyperemia of the circumcorneal blood vessels), an obvious swelling in the conjunctiva with partial eversion of the lids, or a diffuse crimson-red coloration in the conjunctiva with individual vessels not easily discernible (2). Guidelines for classification of chemicals as ocular irritants or nonirritants have been published and form the basis for evaluation in the the present study (6). These Interagercy Regulatory Liaison Group (IRLG) guidelines state that for an initial evaluation: "[a] test result is considered positive if four or more animals exhibit a positive reaction. If only one animal exhibits a positive reaction, the test result is regarded as negative."

In this study, DEGDN produced only one positive reaction, as defined by the IRLG. Slight conjunctival redness and swelling (score = 1), indicating mild inflammation, were observed in three rabbits. These reactions, although scorable, did not achieve sufficient severity to warrant consideration as a "positive response." One observation of slight iridial vasodilation was made 4 hours after compound instillation; this response qualified for a score of 1. Although this was a "positive response," DEGDN was still classified as a non-irritant since at least two of six animals must respond positively for any consideration of classification as an irritant.

CONCLUSION

DEGDN did not produce sufficient irritation under conditions of this study to be classified as an ocular irritant.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holleman JW, Ross RH, Carroll JW. Problem definition study on the health effects of diethyleneglycol dinitrate, triethyleneglycol dinitrate, and trimethylolethane trinitrate and their respective combustion products. Frederick, WD: US Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, 1983, DTIC No. ADA 127846.
- 2. Primary Eye Irritation Study. LAIR Standard Operating Procedure OP-STX-33, Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, CA, 15 June 1984.
- 3. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Office of Toxic Substances (TS-792). Frimary Eye Trritation. In: Health effects test guidelines. Washington, DC: Environmental Protection Agency, August 1982; EPA 560/6-82-001.
- 4. Draize JH, Woodard G, Calvery HO. Methods for the study of irritation and toxicity of substances applied topically to the skin and muccus membranes. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1944; 82:377-390.
- 5. MacDonald TO, Baldwin HA, Beasley CH. Slit lamp examination of experimental animal eyes. I. Techniques of illumination and the normal animal eye. J Soc Cosmet Chem 1973; 24:163-180.
- 6. Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group. Testing Standards and Guidelines Work Group. Recommended guidelines for acute eye irritation testing. January 1981.

Appendix A.	Chemical Data10
Appendix B.	Animal Data13
Appendix C.	Historical Listing of Study Events14
Appendix D.	Tabular Scoring Data16
Appendix E.	Summary of Ocular Observations21
Appendix F.	Body Weight Data22
Appendix G.	Pathology Report23

Appendix A: CHEMICAL DATA

Chemical name: Ethanol, 2,2'-oxybisdinitrate

Alternate chemical name: Diethyleneglycol dinitrate (DEGDN)

Chemical Abstracts Service Registry No.: 693-21-0

LAIR Code No.: TP047

Chemical structure:

O2N-O-CH2CH2-O-CH2CH2-O-NO2

Molecular formula: C4H8N2O7

Molecular weight: 196

Physical state: Pale yellow liquid

Density (g/cm^3) : 1.38¹

Analytical data:

Refer to the attached data sheet, ARRCOM Form 213R. The compound chromatographed as a single peak (retention time 5.4 min) by HPLC analysis under the following conditions: column, Brownlee RP-18 (4.6 x 250 mm); solvent system, 30% water, 70% acetonitrile; flow rate, 0.9 ml/min; detection wavelength, 205 nm. 2 NMR (300 MHz, CD3CN): 3.75 δ (complex multiplet, 4H,-CH2-O-CH2-), 4.61 δ complex

Holleman JW, Ross RH, Carroll JW. Problem definition study on the health effects of diethyleneglycol dinitrate, triethyleneglycol dinitrate, and trimethylolethane trinitrate and their respective combustion products. Frederick, Maryland; US Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, 1983; DTIC No. ADA127846, p. 17.

Wheeler CR. Toxicity Testing of Propellants. Laboratory Notebook #85-12-023, p. 31. Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, California.

Appendix A (cont.): CHEMICAL DATA

multiplet, 4H,-CH2ONO2). 3 Additional singlet signals of approximately equal intensity were observed at 2.08 δ and were due to sample impurities. Integration of all signals in the spectrum demonstrated that the sample contained 96.6% DEGDN. The impurities were not identified. IR(KBr): 2896, 1632, 1429, 1390, 1373, 1279, 1139, 1032, 909, 857, 758, 707, 655, 572 cm⁻¹. 4

Stability:

The DEGDN was shipped containing 18% acetone (a desensitizer) and arrived at LATR on 12 December 1984. The acetone was removed by rotary evaporation prior to studies with the propellant. Analysis of the compound one year after it was received gave the results described above. Stability of the compound in corn oil (the dosing vehicle) was examined. As determined by HPLC, the concentration of DEGDN in corn oil emulsions 24 h after preparation was within 1% of the target value. 5

Source: Radford Army Ammunition Plant, Radford, Virginia (prime contractor: Hercules Inc., Wilmington, Delaware).

Lot No.: RAD84M001S214

³ <u>Ibid.</u> pp. 44-48.

⁴ Ibid. pp. 49-50.

⁵ Wheeler CR. Nitrocellulose - Nitroguanidine Projects. Laboratory Notebook #85-01-006, pp. 57-60. Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, California.

Appendix A (cont): CHEMICAL DATA

December 5. 1984 December 61 colors December 5. 1984 December 61 colors December 61 colors	DESCRIPTION SHEET FOR EXP	PLOSIVES, CHE	MICALS, ETC	REPORTS CONTROL SYMBOL EXEMPT-Para 7-2a AR 335 + 15	OF EAST
RADFORD ARMY AMOUNTION PLANT SECTION A - DESCRIPTION OF LOTS FOLL MANUAL ARMONISTICAL PLANT, RADFORD, VIRGINIA POPULATION AMENAGEMENT/CHARGEMENT ACCEPTED SECTION B - DESCRIPTION OF MATERIAL Requirements Limit Results 82.2°C Potassium Iodide 10 minutes minimum 12 Starch Paper Hear Test (YI) Nitrogen, Z 14.10 minimum 14.15 Water, Z Info Only 0.43 Acidity None None Alkalinity None None Alkalinity None None None None Requirements None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None Acidity None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None None None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None Remarks DECON is Jesensitized to 3 lbs. None	0:	FROME		December 5, MATERIAL Diethylene Gly	col
SECTION A - DESCRIPTION OF LOTS ADBANCOIST14				-4007	
ARRAMANS DECON is desensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. None N		ON A - DESCRIP			
REMARKS DECON IS desensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. Acidity None None None Alkalinity None Alkalinity None None Alkalinity Alianity Alian	•	1	1 _	ACCEPTED	
Requirements Limit Results 82.2°C Potassium Iodide Starch Paper Hear Test (YI) Nitrogen, Z 14.10 minimum 14.15 Water, Z Info Only O.43 Acidity None None None Alkalinity None None None None None Alkalinity None No	LACE MANUFACTURED	<u></u>	SPECIFICATION AND	AMENOMENT/DRAWING NO.	
Requirements Limit Results 82.2°C Potassium Iodide 10 minutes minimum 12 Nitrogen, Z 14.10 minimum 14.15 Water, Z Info Only 0.43 Acidity None None None Alkalinity None None None Alkalinity None None Alkalinity None None None None Semanting again around the 5 gallon drum with a DOT 25 liner, overpacked in a DOT-60 30 gallon in the 30 gallon drum. Requested by shipping Order ANCCOM and COR letter SMCRA dated November 28, 1984 (DOT Exemption 5704). SECTION C - CERTIFICATION THE ABOVE MITERIAL COMPLES WITH ALL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS AND IS CERTIFIED THUE AND CORRECT. TESTIMO COMPUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE DISCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREST ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER			200 0 3491		
REMARKS DECEDN is desensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. Water, % Info Only 0.43 Acidity None None Alkalinity None None Alkalinity None None Alkalinity None None None Section of Alkalinity Alice and Community of Section of the Section of	SECTIO	N B - DESCRIPTI	ON OF MATERI	Al	
Nitrogen, Z 14.10 minimum 14.15 Water, Z Info Only 0.43 Acidity None None None Alkalinity None N	Requirements	Li:	mi t	Results	
Acidity None None None Alkalinity None Non		10 mi	nutes minimum	12	
Acidity None Non	Nitrogen, Z	14.10	minimum	14.15	
Alkalinity None	Water, %	Info	Only	0.43	
REMARKS DEGDN is desensitized with 15% or more of acetone for a total weight of 5 lbs. packed in a DOT 6D 5 gallon drum with a DOT 2S liner, overpacked in a DOT-6J 30 gallon capacity drum with vermiculite as a cushioning agent around the 5 gallon drum and cont in the 30 gallon drum. Requested by shipping Order ANCCOM and COR letter SMCRA dated November 28, 1984 (DOT Exemption 5704). SECTION C - CERTIFICATION SAMPLING CONDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE MATERIAL COMPLIES WITH ALL SPECIFICATION REQUIREMENTS AND IS CERTIFIED TRUE AND CORRECT. TESTING COMDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE OESCRIBEO LOTS ARE HERGEY ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER	Acidity	None		None	
SECTION C - CERTIFICATION SAMPLING CONDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER FOR THE COMMANDER FOR THE COMMANDER	Alkalinity	None		None	
THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE CONDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE COMMANDER	capacity drum with vermiculite as in the 30 gallon drum. Requested	s a cushioning d by shipping O	agent around t	the 3 gallon drum ar	id containe
THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE CONDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER		recylon e	THE ATION		
TESTING CONDUCTED BY HERCULES INCORPORATED 12-5-84 12-5-84 OATE FOR THE COMMANDER	SAMPLING CONDUCTED BY	TAM BOOK BAT	ERIAL COMPLIES WITH	HALL SPECIFICATION	- 1000
THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER	HERCULES INCORPORATED	REQUIREMENTS	AND IS CERTIFIED TR	UE AND CORRECT.	
THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCEPTED FOR THE COMMANDER			_ <i>J.M.</i>	Aleston Fix	ALKER
	THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LOTS ARE HEREBY ACCES				
One Description	Bec 6 1984	7.1.2			

ARRCOM Form 213-R, 10 Aug 77

SEQUENCE No. 374

Appendix B: ANIMAL DATA

Species: Oryctolagus cuniculus

Strain: New Zealand White (albino)

Source: Elkhorn Rabbitry

5265 Starr Way

Watsonville, CA 95076

Sex: Male

Age: Young Adults

Animals in each group: Group I: 2 males

Group II: 4 males

Condition of animals at start of study: Normal

Body weight range at dosing: 2.4 - 2.8 kg

Identification procedures: Ear tattoo numbers 85F162,

85F171, 85F172, 85F173, 85F174,

85F175.

Pretest conditioning: Quarantine from 22 Aug - 5 Sep 1985

Animal eyes were examined 24 hours

before dosing using slit lamp, fluorescein dye, and ultraviolet

light.

Justification: Laboratory rabbits are a proven sensitive

animal model for ocular testing.

Appendix C: HISTORICAL LISTING OF STUDY EVENTS

<u>Date</u>	Event
22 Aug 85	Animals arrived at LAIR. They were tattooed, weighed, examined for illness, placed under a two-week quarantine.
22 Aug-5 Sep 85	Animals were checked daily by Division of Animal Care and Services (DAC&S). No animals died during the quarantine period.
5 Sep 85	Rabbits were certified healthy by DAC&S Staff Veterinarian and removed from quarantine, separated into test groups and weighed.
9 Sep 85	Animals were checked for preexisting ocular injury (Group 1).
10 Sep 85	Group 1 rabbits were dosed according to test chemical group and weighed. Eyes were scored 1 and 4 hours after exposure.
11 Sep 85	Eyes were scored 24 hours after exposure (Group 1).
12 Sep 85	Eyes were scored 48 hours after exposure (Group 1).
13 Sep 85	Eyes were scored 72 hours after exposure. Study was terminated (Group 1).
16 Sep 85	Animals were weighed and sent to Necropsy Suite for sacrifice and necropsy (Group 1). Animals were checked for preexisting ocular injury (Group 2).
17 Sep 85	Group 2 Rabbits were dosed according to test chemical group and weighed. Eyes were scored 1 and 4 hours after exposure.
18 Sep 85	Eyes were scored 24 hours after exposure (Group 2).

Appendix C (cont.): HISTORICAL LISTING OF STUDY EVENTS

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Event</u>					
19	Sep 85	Eyes were scored 48 hours after exposure (Group 2).					
20	Sep 85	Eyes were scored 72 hours after exposure (Group 2).					
24	Sep 85	Study was terminated, animals were weighed and sent to Necropsy Suite for sacrifice and necropsy (Group 2).					

Appendix D: TABULAR SCORING DATA

ON

ACUTE EYE IRRITATION SUMMARY FORMS

Appendix	D-1.	Corneal Opac	ity1	7
Appendix	D-2.	Iridial Scor	es1	8
Appendix	D-3.	Conjunctiva	(Redness)1	9
Appendix	D-4.	Conjunctiva	(Chemosis)2	0

APPENDIX D-1: Cornea Opacity

Rabbit Number	Base- Line	1 hr	4 hr	24_hr	48 hr	72 hr
85F162	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F171	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F172	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F173	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F174	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F175	0	0	0	0	0	0

APPENDIX D-2: Iridial Scores

Rabbit Number	Base- <u>Line</u>	<u>1 hr</u>	4 hr	24 hr	48 hr	<u>72 hr</u>
85F162	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F171	0	0	1	0	0	0
85F172	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F173	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F174	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F175	0	0	0	0	0	0

APPENDIX D-3: Conjunctiva (Redness)

Rabbit <u>Number</u>	Base- <u>Line</u>	<u>1_hr</u>	4 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr
85F162	0	0	1	0	0	0
85F171	0	1	0	0	0	0
85F172	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F173	0	0	1	0	0	0
85F174	0	0	0	0	0	Ú
85F175	0	0	0	Û	0	0

Hiatt and Korte--20

APPENDIX D-4: Conjunctiva (Chemosis)

Raobit Number	Base- Line	1 hr	4 hr	24 hr	48 hr	72 hr
85F162	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F171	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F172	0	0	0	0	0	0
85F173	C	0	0	0	0	0
85F174	0	1	1	0	0	0
85F175	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix E: SUMMARY OF OCULAR OBSERVATIONS

One Hour After Dosing

85F162	Small "mucous-like" globule on cornea
	surface.
85F171	Slight conjunctival redness.
85F173	Hyperemic retina.
85F174	Slight swelling of the nictitating membrane.
	Slight bulging of the eye.

Four Hours After Dosing

85F162 85F171	Slight conjunctival redness. Slight redness in the iris.
85F172	Small blister (1-2 mm) on nictitating
	membrane.
85F 173	Slight conjunctival redness. Hyperemic
	retina.
85F174	Slight swelling of the nictitating membrane.
	Slight bulging of the eye.

Twenty-four Hours After Dosing

85F172	Small blister (1-2 mm) on nictitating
	membrane.
85F173	Hyperemic retina.
85F174	Slight bulging of the eye.

Forty-eight Hours After Dosing

85F172	Small blister (1-2 mm) on nictitating
	membrane.
85F173	Hyperemic retina.
85F174	Excess mucus on the eye.

Seventy-two Hours After Dosing

85F171	Very slight	increased	thickness	in	corneal
	surface.				
85F173	Hyperemic re	tina.			

APPENDIX F: Body Weight Data

Animal Number	Baseline	<u>Termination</u>	<u>Change</u>
	Group	1	
85F171 85F172	2399* 2519	2479 2576	80 57
	Group	2	
85F162 85F173 85F174 85F175	2654 2782 2774 2748	2711 2804 2804 2872	57 22 30 124

^{*} Body weights recorded in grams.

APPENDIX G: Pathology Report

LAIR Gross Pathology Report GLP Study 95002

Study: GLP #85002

Test: Primary Ocular Irritation Test

Investigator: Dr. Gerald Hiatt

Test Substance: DEGDN (CAS No. 693-21-0)

History: Six male rabbits (NZW) were exposed to 0.1 ml of the test compound in the conjunctival sac of one eye, the other eye being a control. After observation (IAW IAIR SOP-OP-STX-33), for an applicable period, the animals were euthanized with 4.0 ml sodium pentobarbital and necropsied.

Gross findings:

DX .

sma
l tan lesion, 2 x Ø.2 cm.
le (NR)
use red mottled
oloration.
1

Comment: None of the gross findings were considered remarkable.

MICHAEL V. STAYTER, DVM MAJ, VC

Comparative Pathology Branch

G. TRACY MAKOVEC, DVM

CPT, VC Diplomate, ACVP

Comparative Pathology Branch

4 December 1985

Distribution List

Commander
US Army Biomedical Research and
Development Laboratory (27)
ATTN: SGRD-UBZ-C
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5010

Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (2)
ATTN: DTIC-DLA
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

US Army Medical Research and
Development Command (2)
ATTN: SGRD-RMI-S
Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701-5012

Commandant Academy of Health Sciences, US Army ATTN: AHS-CDM Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Chief USAEHA Regional Division, West Fitzsimmons AMC Aurora, CO 80045

Chief USAEHA Regional Division, North Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

Chief USAEHA Regional Division, South Bldg. 180 Fort McPherson, GA 30330

Commander
USA Health Services Command
ATTN: HSPA-P
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMSCG 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria VA 22333 Commander
US Army Environmental Hygiene
Agency
ATTN: Librarian, HSDH-AD-L
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Dean
School of Medicine
Uniformed Services University of the
Health Sciences
4301 Jones Bridge Road
Bethesda, MD 20014

Commander
US Army Materiel Command
ATTN: AMCEN-A
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

HQDA ATTN: DASG-PSP-E Falls Church, VA 22041-3258

HQDA ATTN: DAEN-RDM 20 Massachusetts, NW Washington, D.C. 20314

CDR, US Army Toxic and Hazardous
Material Agency
ATTN: DRXTH/ES
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Commandant
Academy of Health Sciences
United States Army
ATTN: Chief, Environmental
Quality Branch
Preventive Medicine Division
(HSHA-IPM)
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234